



# **The Neutrino Dark Matter connection**

### Aditya Batra

aditya.batra@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

CFTP-IST, Lisbon

May 27, 2025









# List of papers

- A. Batra, P. Bharadwaj, S. Mandal, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, "*Phenomenology of the simplest linear seesaw mechanism*", JHEP 07 (2023) 221, arXiv:2305.00994 [hep-ph].
- A. Batra, P. Bharadwaj, S. Mandal, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, "Large lepton number violation at colliders: Predictions from the minimal linear seesaw mechanism", Phys.Lett.B 860 (2025) 139204, arXiv:2304.06080 [hep-ph].
- A. Batra, H. B. Câmara, and F. R. Joaquim, "Dark linear seesaw mechanism", Phys.Lett.B 843 (2023) 138012, arXiv:2305.01687 [hep-ph].
- A. Batra, H. B. Câmara, F. R. Joaquim, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, "Axion Paradigm with Color-Mediated Neutrino Masses", Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 5, 051801, arXiv:2309.06473 [hep-ph].
- A. Batra, H. B. Câmara, F. R. Joaquim, N. Nath, R. Srivastava, and J. W. F. Valle, "Axion framework with color-mediated Dirac neutrino masses", arXiv: 2501.13156 [hep-ph].

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

• Neutrino flavour oscillations which imply non-zero neutrino masses

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

- Neutrino flavour oscillations which imply non-zero neutrino masses
- Observed Dark Matter abundance

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

- Neutrino flavour oscillations which imply non-zero neutrino masses
- Observed Dark Matter abundance
- Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

- Neutrino flavour oscillations which imply non-zero neutrino masses
- Observed Dark Matter abundance
- Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe

Besides these problems, there are some hints of new physics:

• Strong CP problem: Lack of a theoretical explanation for the non-observation of the neutron electric dipole moment which indicates that strong interactions preserve CP symmetry.

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

- Neutrino flavour oscillations which imply non-zero neutrino masses
- Observed Dark Matter abundance
- Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe

Besides these problems, there are some hints of new physics:

- Strong CP problem: Lack of a theoretical explanation for the non-observation of the neutron electric dipole moment which indicates that strong interactions preserve CP symmetry.
- Flavour puzzle: No explanation for the specific values for the observed fermion masses and mixings.

The Standard Model (SM) of particle physics is a theory that describes electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions using symmetry groups  $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ . It also classifies all known fundamental particles with specific charges under these groups.

Although it's highly successful in terms of producing experimental predictions, it cannot explain:

- **Neutrino flavour oscillations** which imply non-zero neutrino masses
- Observed Dark Matter abundance
- Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe

Besides these problems, there are some hints of new physics:

Strong CP problem: Lack of a theoretical explanation for the non-observation of the neutron electric dipole moment

which indicates that strong interactions preserve CP symmetry.

• Flavour puzzle: No explanation for the specific values for the observed fermion masses and mixings.

# Neutrino masses

The unique lowest-dimensional effective operator that can be added to the SM is the dimension-five Weinberg operator. This operator leads to Majorana masses for neutrinos after electroweak symmetry breaking.

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj.}}^{d=5} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Maj.}}}{\Lambda} \; (\bar{L}^c \tilde{\Phi}^*) (\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger L) + \text{H.c.}$$



# Neutrino masses

The unique lowest-dimensional effective operator that can be added to the SM is the dimension-five Weinberg operator.

This operator leads to Majorana masses for neutrinos after electroweak symmetry breaking.

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj.}}^{d=5} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Maj.}}}{\Lambda} \ (\bar{L}^c \tilde{\Phi}^*) (\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger L) + \text{H.c.}$$



# Neutrino masses

The unique lowest-dimensional effective operator that can be added to the SM is the dimension-five Weinberg operator.

This operator leads to Majorana masses for neutrinos after electroweak symmetry breaking.

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Maj.}}^{d=5} = \frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{Maj.}}}{\Lambda} \ (\bar{L}^c \tilde{\Phi}^*) (\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger} L) + \mathrm{H.c.}$$

**Alternatively**, neutrinos could be **Dirac particles**. This scenario requires the existence of **right-handed neutrino** counterparts and the absence of the Weinberg operator, thereby forbidding Majorana mass terms.

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}}^{d=4} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\text{Dirac}} \left( \bar{L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R \right) + \text{H.c.}$$

A straightforward and elegant solution for neutrino masses:



Minkowski (1977), Gell-Mann *et al.* (1979), Yanagida (1979), Glashow (1980), Mohapatra *et al.* (1980), Valle *et al.* (1980)

A straightforward and elegant solution for neutrino masses:

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_{\nu} \overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{M}_R \overline{\nu_R^c} \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$



Minkowski (1977), Gell-Mann *et al.* (1979), Yanagida (1979), Glashow (1980), Mohapatra *et al.* (1980), Valle *et al.* (1980)

A straightforward and elegant solution for neutrino masses:



Minkowski (1977), Gell-Mann *et al.* (1979), Yanagida (1979), Glashow (1980), Mohapatra *et al.* (1980), Valle *et al.* (1980)

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_{\nu} \overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{M}_R \overline{\nu_R^c} \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{M}_D \\ \mathbf{M}_D^T & \mathbf{M}_R \end{pmatrix} , \ \mathbf{M}_D = \frac{v_{\Phi} \mathbf{Y}_{\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

A straightforward and elegant solution for neutrino masses:



Minkowski (1977), Gell-Mann *et al.* (1979), Yanagida (1979), Glashow (1980), Mohapatra *et al.* (1980), Valle *et al.* (1980)

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_{\nu} \overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{M}_R \overline{\nu_R^c} \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{M}_D \\ \mathbf{M}_D^T & \mathbf{M}_R \end{pmatrix} , \ \mathbf{M}_D = \frac{v_{\Phi} \mathbf{Y}_{\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$\int \mathbf{M}_D \ll \mathbf{M}_R$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu} \simeq -\mathbf{M}_D \mathbf{M}_R^{-1} \mathbf{M}_D^T \sim \frac{v_{\Phi}^2}{\mathbf{M}_R}$$

A straightforward and elegant solution for neutrino masses:



Minkowski (1977), Gell-Mann *et al.* (1979), Yanagida (1979), Glashow (1980), Mohapatra *et al.* (1980), Valle *et al.* (1980)

$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_{\nu} \overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{M}_R \overline{\nu_R^c} \nu_R + \text{H.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{M}_D \\ \mathbf{M}_D^T & \mathbf{M}_R \end{pmatrix} , \ \mathbf{M}_D = \frac{v_{\Phi} \mathbf{Y}_{\nu}}{\sqrt{2}}$$
$$\int_{\mathbf{M}_D} \mathbf{M}_R \ll \mathbf{M}_R$$
$$\mathbf{M}_{\nu} \simeq -\mathbf{M}_D \mathbf{M}_R^{-1} \mathbf{M}_D^T \sim \frac{v_{\Phi}^2}{\mathbf{M}_R}$$

- The Type-I Seesaw is by far the simplest solution to the neutrino mass problem.
- However, a major drawback of this model is the large mass scale of the right-handed neutrinos, far away from the reach
  of current experiments.

Low-scale solutions, such as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.

Low-scale solutions, such as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.



| Fields   | ${ m SU}(2)_{ m L}\otimes { m U}(1)_{ m Y}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_L$ |
|----------|---|-------------------|
| $\ell_L$ | ( <b>2</b> ,-1)                             | 1                 |
| $e_R$    | ( <b>1</b> ,2)                              | 1                 |
| $ u_R$   | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                              | 1                 |
| $S_R$    | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                              | -1                |
| $\Phi$   | (2, 1)                                      | 0                 |

Low-scale solutions, such as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.



Low-scale solutions, such as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.



 $\mu$  violates lepton number and can be naturally small in the t'Hooft sense. Hence, neutrino masses are suppressed and extremely heavy mediators like in the Type-I seesaw are not required.

#### Aditya Batra – May 27, 2025

#### **Linear Seesaw Model**

Akhmedov et al. (1996), Malinsky et al. (2005)



#### **Linear Seesaw Model**

#### Akhmedov et al. (1996), Malinsky et al. (2005)



in the 
$$(\nu_L, \nu_R^c, S_R^c)$$
 basis,  $\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{M}_D & \mathbf{m}_S \\ \mathbf{M}_D^T & 0 & \mathbf{M}_R \\ \mathbf{m}_S^T & \mathbf{M}_R & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_S = \frac{v_{\chi} \mathbf{Y}_S}{\sqrt{2}} \longrightarrow m_{\nu} \sim \frac{v_{\Phi} v_{\chi}}{M_R}$ 

#### **Linear Seesaw Model**

#### Akhmedov et al. (1996), Malinsky et al. (2005)



 $v_{\chi}$  is induced through the mixing term  $\mu \Phi^{\dagger} \chi_L$  in the scalar potential which violates lepton number and hence can be naturally small. Again, the mediators can be at the TeV scale within the reach of current experiments.

Particle dark matter candidates must be:

- Electromagnetically Neutral and Non-Baryonic: constraints from searches in terrestrial, lunar, and meteoritic materials
- Non-Relativistic: to allow structure formation in the early Universe
- Cosmologically Stable or Long-Lived: to account for the observed dark matter density,  $\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = 0.1200 \pm 0.0012$

Particle dark matter candidates must be:

- Electromagnetically Neutral and Non-Baryonic: constraints from searches in terrestrial, lunar, and meteoritic materials
- Non-Relativistic: to allow structure formation in the early Universe
- Cosmologically Stable or Long-Lived: to account for the observed dark matter density,  $\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = 0.1200 \pm 0.0012$

Many models beyond the SM employ symmetries such as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to prevent the decay of dark matter into SM particles.



Particle dark matter candidates must be:

- Electromagnetically Neutral and Non-Baryonic: constraints from searches in terrestrial, lunar, and meteoritic materials
- Non-Relativistic: to allow structure formation in the early Universe
- Cosmologically Stable or Long-Lived: to account for the observed dark matter density,  $\Omega_{CDM}h^2 = 0.1200 \pm 0.0012$

Many models beyond the SM employ symmetries such as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to prevent the decay of dark matter into SM particles.



### Dark matter seeded neutrino mass

In radiative neutrino mass models, small neutrino masses are induced at the quantum level. Particles entering the loops may also be viable DM candidates stabilized by symmetry.

### Dark matter seeded neutrino mass

In radiative neutrino mass models, small neutrino masses are induced at the quantum level. Particles entering the loops may also be viable DM candidates stabilized by symmetry.

|        | Scotogenic Model Tao (1996), Ma (                                    | (2006)         |
|--------|--|----------------|
| Fields | ${ m SU}(3)_{ m c}\otimes { m SU}(2)_{ m L}\otimes { m U}(1)_{ m Y}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ |
| L      | $(1, 2, -\frac{1}{2})$   | +1             |
| $\ell$ | $(1, 1, -\overline{1})$  | +1             |
| $\Phi$ | $(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$  | +1             |
| N      | (1, 1, 0)  | -1             |
| $\eta$ | $(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$  | -1             |



#### Dark matter seeded neutrino mass

In radiative neutrino mass models, small neutrino masses are induced at the quantum level. Particles entering the loops may also be viable DM candidates stabilized by symmetry.

|        | Scotogenic Model Tao (1996), Ma (  | 2006)          |
|--------|--|----------------|
| Fields | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{c}}\otimes\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ |
| L      | $(1,2,-\frac{1}{2})$   | +1             |
| $\ell$ | $(1, 1, -\overline{1})$  | +1             |
| $\Phi$ | $(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$  | +1             |
| N      | (1, 1, 0)  | -1             |
| $\eta$ | $(1, 2, \frac{1}{2})$  | -1             |



#### Dark Inverse Seesaw Model Mandal et al. (2021)

| Fields   | ${ m SU}(2)_{ m L}\otimes { m U}(1)_{ m Y}$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_L$ | $\mathcal{Z}_2$ |
|----------|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| $\ell_L$ | ( <b>2</b> ,-1)                             | -1                | +               |
| $e_R$    | ( <b>1</b> ,2)                              | 1                 | +               |
| $\nu_R$  | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                              | 1                 | +               |
| S        | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                              | -1                | +               |
| f        | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                              | 0                 | —               |
| $\Phi$   | ( <b>2</b> ,1)                              | 0                 | +               |
| ξ        | (1, 1)                                      | 1                 | _               |



We propose a model where the **low-scale linear seesaw** neutrino mass generation mechanism is seeded by cosmologically stable dark matter particles accounting for both **neutrino flavour oscillations** and the observed **dark matter** abundance.

We propose a model where the **low-scale linear seesaw** neutrino mass generation mechanism is seeded by cosmologically stable dark matter particles accounting for both **neutrino flavour oscillations** and the observed **dark matter** abundance.

|          | Fields    | ${ m SU}(2)_{ m L} \otimes { m U}(1)_{ m Y}$ | $U(1)_L$ | $\mathcal{Z}_2$ | $]$ $\Phi$              |                        |
|----------|-----------|--|----------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Fermions | $\ell_L$  | (2, -1)                                      | 1        | +               |                         |                        |
|          | $e_R$     | ( <b>1</b> ,2)                               | 1        | +               |                         | $\mathbf{Y}$           |
|          | $ u_R $   | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                               | 1        | +               | n                       | · <sup>X</sup> 、       |
|          | $S_R$     | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                               | -1       | +               |                         |                        |
|          | $f_{L,R}$ | ( <b>1</b> ,0)                               | -1       | _               |                         |                        |
| Scalars  | $\Phi$    | ( <b>2</b> ,1)                               | 0        | +               |                         |                        |
|          | $\eta$    | ( <b>2</b> ,1)                               | -2       | _               | $\ell_L  f_R  f_L  S_R$ | $\nu_R  f_R  f_L  S_R$ |
|          | $\chi$    | (1,0)  | 0        | _               |                         |                        |

We propose a model where the **low-scale linear seesaw** neutrino mass generation mechanism is seeded by cosmologically stable dark matter particles accounting for both **neutrino flavour oscillations** and the observed **dark matter** abundance.



 $-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_e \ell_L \Phi e_R + \mathbf{Y}_D \ell_L \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{Y}_f \ell_L \tilde{\eta} f_R + Y_S f_L S_R \chi + Y_R f_R^c \nu_R \chi + M_B \nu_R^c S_R + M_f f_L f_R + \text{H.c.}$ 

We propose a model where the **low-scale linear seesaw** neutrino mass generation mechanism is seeded by cosmologically stable dark matter particles accounting for both **neutrino flavour oscillations** and the observed **dark matter** abundance.



$$-\mathcal{L} = \mathbf{Y}_e \ell_L \Phi e_R + \mathbf{Y}_D \ell_L \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R + \mathbf{Y}_f \ell_L \tilde{\eta} f_R + Y_S f_L S_R \chi + Y_R f_R^c \nu_R \chi + M_B \nu_R^c S_R + M_f f_L f_R + \text{H.c.}$$

The **lepton number symmetry** is violated by the scalar potential term:

$$V_{\text{soft}} = \kappa \left( \eta^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \chi + \text{H.c.}$$

The fermion f and the scalar  $\zeta_1$  (which is a mixed state of the inert scalar doublet  $\eta$  and singlet  $\chi$ ) can be viable WIMP DM candidates. We focused on the scalar DM phenomenology.

The fermion *f* and the scalar  $\zeta_1$  (which is a mixed state of the inert scalar doublet  $\eta$  and singlet  $\chi$ ) can be viable WIMP DM candidates. We focused on the scalar DM phenomenology.



Direct detection is mediated by the SM Higgs boson and the Z-boson.
#### **Charged lepton flavour violation**

The new particles can mediate charged lepton flavour violating decays with sizable branching ratios.



#### **Charged lepton flavour violation**

The new particles can mediate charged lepton flavour violating decays with sizable branching ratios.



Therefore, our model can be probed through these processes at various current and upcoming experiments.

# The Strong CP problem and axions

Strong CP problem
$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm QCD} \supset \overline{\theta} \, \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} G^b_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{b,\mu\nu}$$
$$|\bar{\theta}| < 10^{-10}$$

#### The Strong CP problem and axions



#### The Strong CP problem and axions



#### Our approach:

New class of models where **neutrino masses** are **radiatively generated by coloured particles** which **simultaneously** solve through the PQ mechanism the **strong CP problem**. The predicted **axion** particle accounts for **dark matter**.

| Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |
|----------|---|-------------|--------------|
| $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
| $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
| σ        | (1, 1, 0)   | ω           | 1            |
| $\eta$   | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2 ight)$  | 0           | $n_\eta$     |
| $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_\chi$     |

|                    | Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |
|--------------------|----------|---|-------------|--------------|
| Vactor lika quarka | $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q), 2n \pm 1, 0)$  | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
| vector-like quarks | $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q), 2n \pm 1, 0)$  | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
|                    | σ        | (1, 1, 0)   | ω           | 1            |
|                    | $\eta$   | ((p,q), 2n, 1/2)  | 0           | $n_\eta$     |
|                    | $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\chi}$   |

|                        | Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c\otimes\mathrm{SU}(2)_L\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |
|------------------------|----------|---|-------------|--------------|
| Voctor-like quarks     | $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
|                        | $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |
| Complex scalar singlet | σ        | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | ω           | 1            |
|                        | $\eta$   | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2\right)$                                     | 0           | $n_\eta$     |
|                        | $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_\chi$     |

|                                      | Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c\otimes\mathrm{SU}(2)_L\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{PQ}}$ | Multiplicity |
|--------------------------------------|----------|---|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Vector like quarke                   | $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | ω                             | $n_{\Psi}$   |
|                                      | $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0                             | $n_{\Psi}$   |
| Complex scalar singlet $\rightarrow$ | σ        | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | ω                             | 1            |
|                                      | $\eta$   | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2\right)$                                     | 0                             | $n_\eta$     |
|                                      | $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0                             | $n_\chi$     |

|                          |          | Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |  |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|---|-------------|--------------|--|
| Vactor lika quarks       | ſ        | $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |  |
| vector-like quarks       | l        | $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |  |
| Complex scalar singlet - | <b>→</b> | σ        | (1, 1, 0)   | ω           | 1            |  |
| Colourad coolara         | ſ        | $\eta$   | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2\right)$   | 0           | $n_\eta$     |  |
| Coloureu scalars         | l        | $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_\chi$     |  |

$$\sigma = \underbrace{\frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\sqrt{2}} e^{ia_{\sigma}/v_{\sigma}}$$

|                          |          | Fields   | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c\otimes\mathrm{SU}(2)_L\otimes\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |  |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|---|-------------|--------------|--|
| Vector-like quarks       | l        | $\Psi_L$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |  |
| Vector-like quarks       | ſ        | $\Psi_R$ | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |  |
| Complex scalar singlet - | <b>→</b> | σ        | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | ω           | 1            |  |
| Coloured scalars         | ſ        | $\eta$   | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2\right)$                                     | 0           | $n_\eta$     |  |
|                          | l        | $\chi$   | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_\chi$     |  |

• 
$$\sigma = \frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ia_{\sigma}/v_{\sigma}}$$

Yukawa Lagrangian: 
$$-\mathcal{L}_{Yuk.} \supset \mathbf{Y}_{\Psi}\overline{\Psi_{L}}\Psi_{R}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Y}_{\chi_{j}}\Psi_{R}^{T}C\chi_{j}\Psi_{R} + \mathbf{Y}_{i}\overline{L}\eta_{i}^{*}\Psi_{R} + \text{H.c.}$$

|                          | Fields             | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $U(1)_{PQ}$ | Multiplicity |     |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------|--------------|-----|
| Vector-like quarks       | $\Psi_L$           | $((p,q), 2n \pm 1, 0)$  | ω           | $n_{\Psi}$   |     |
|                          | $\Psi_R$           | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_{\Psi}$   |     |
| Complex scalar singlet — | $\bullet$ $\sigma$ | (1, 1, 0)   | ω           | 1            | ] . |
| Colourod scalars         | $\int \eta$        | $\left((p,q),2n,1/2\right)$   | 0           | $n_{\eta}$   |     |
|                          | $l \mid \chi$      | $((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$   | 0           | $n_\chi$     |     |

• 
$$\sigma = \frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ia_{\sigma}/v_{\sigma}}$$

Yukawa Lagrangian: 
$$-\mathcal{L}_{Yuk.} \supset \mathbf{Y}_{\Psi}\overline{\Psi_{L}}\Psi_{R}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{Y}_{\chi_{j}}\Psi_{R}^{T}C\chi_{j}\Psi_{R} + \mathbf{Y}_{i}\overline{L}\eta_{i}^{*}\Psi_{R} + H.c$$

Scalar Potential:

 $V \supset \mu_{ijk} \chi_i \chi_j \chi_k + \kappa_{ij} \eta_i^{\dagger} \Phi \chi_j + \lambda_{ijk} \Phi^{\dagger} \eta_i \chi_j \chi_k + \text{H.c.}$ 







#### Strong CP problem

$$\sigma = \frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{a_{\sigma}}{v_{\sigma}}}$$



#### Strong CP problem

$$\sigma = \frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} e^{ia_{\sigma}/v_{\sigma}}$$

Axion decay constant

$$f_a = \frac{f_{\rm PQ}}{N} = \frac{v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}N}$$



#### Strong CP problem

$$\sigma = \frac{v_{\sigma} + \rho}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{ia_{\sigma}/v_{\sigma}}$$

Axion decay constant

J

$$f_a = \frac{f_{\rm PQ}}{N} = \frac{v_\sigma}{\sqrt{2}N}$$

# QCD axion mass relation $m_a = 5.70(7) \left( \frac{10^{12} \text{ GeV}}{f_a} \right) \, \mu \text{eV}_{\text{Cortona et al.}(2016)}$



#### Axion-to-photon coupling:

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi f_a} \left[ \frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4) \right]_{\text{Cortona et al.(2016)}}$$

Axion-to-photon coupling:

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi f_a} \left[ \frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4) \right]_{\text{Cortona et al.(2016)}}$$

Model dependent contribution to the electromagnetic and colour anomaly factors:

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{d(p,q)}{(2n\pm1)T(p,q)} \sum_{j=0}^{2n\pm1-1} \left(\frac{2n\pm1-1}{2} - j\right)^2$$

Axion-to-photon coupling:

$$g_{a\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\alpha_e}{2\pi f_a} \left[ \frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4) \right]_{\text{Cortona et al.(2016)}}$$

Model dependent contribution to the electromagnetic and colour anomaly factors:

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{d(p,q)}{(2n\pm1)T(p,q)} \sum_{j=0}^{2n\pm1-1} \left(\frac{2n\pm1-1}{2} - j\right)^2$$

|  |           |                           |                             |                                | $SU(2)_L$                       |                                |                                |
|--|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| $\Psi_{L,R}$   | E/N       | T                         | 3                           | 5                              | 7                               | 9                              | 11                             |
| $egin{aligned} &((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)\ &\mathrm{SU}(3)_c\otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L\otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \end{aligned}$ | $SU(3)_c$ | 3<br>6<br>10<br>15<br>15' | 4<br>8/5<br>8/9<br>1<br>4/7 | 12<br>24/5<br>8/3<br>3<br>12/7 | 24<br>48/5<br>16/3<br>6<br>24/7 | 40<br>16<br>80/9<br>10<br>40/7 | 60<br>24<br>40/3<br>15<br>60/7 |

The different models can be probed through the axion-to-photon coupling at helioscope and haloscope experiments.



Axions are naturally light, weakly coupled with ordinary matter, cosmologically stable, and can be nonthermally produced in the early Universe being an excellent DM candidate.

Axions are naturally light, weakly coupled with ordinary matter, cosmologically stable, and can be nonthermally produced in the early Universe being an excellent DM candidate.

Coloured scalars

Vector-like quarks

- $\eta$  ((p,q), 2n, 1/2)
- $\chi ~((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$

 $\Psi_{L,R} \ ((p,q), 2n \pm 1, 0)$ 

Potentially dangerous stable coloured/baryonic and electrically charged relics

Axions are naturally light, weakly coupled with ordinary matter, cosmologically stable, and can be nonthermally produced in the early Universe being an excellent DM candidate.

Coloured scalars

Vector-like quarks

- $\eta$  ((p,q), 2n, 1/2)  $\Psi_{L,R}$  ((p,q), 2n ± 1, 0)
- $\chi \qquad ((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$

Axion dark matter via the misalignment mechanism in the pre-inflationary scenario:

$$\Omega_a h^2 \simeq \Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2 \frac{\theta_0^2}{2.15^2} \left( \frac{f_a}{2 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{\frac{7}{6}}$$

Callan et al. (1978); Gross et al. (1981); Dimopoulos et al. (2008)

Potentially dangerous stable

coloured/baryonic and electrically

charged relics

Axions are naturally light, weakly coupled with ordinary matter, cosmologically stable, and can be nonthermally produced in the early Universe being an excellent DM candidate.

Coloured scalars

Vector-like quarks

- $\eta$  ((p,q), 2n, 1/2)  $\Psi_{L,R}$  ((p,q), 2n ± 1, 0)
- $\chi \qquad ((p,q),2n\pm 1,0)$

Axion dark matter via the misalignment mechanism in the pre-inflationary scenario:

$$\Omega_a h^2 \simeq \Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2 \frac{\theta_0^2}{2.15^2} \left( \frac{f_a}{2 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}} \right)^{\frac{7}{6}}$$

Callan et al. (1978); Gross et al. (1981); Dimopoulos et al. (2008)

Potentially dangerous stable

coloured/baryonic and electrically

charged relics

Isocurvature fluctuations are constrained by CMB data setting a bound on the inflationary scale:

$$H_I \lesssim \frac{0.9 \times 10^7}{\Omega_a h^2 / \Omega_{\rm CDM} h^2} \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\pi} \frac{f_a}{10^{11} \text{ GeV}}\right) \text{ GeV}$$



For  $\theta_0 \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$  axions can account for the full CDM budget, provided  $f_a \sim 5 \times 10^{11}$  GeV, a region currently under scrutiny at haloscopes.

Aditya Batra – May 27, 2025

|                    | Fields                    | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $\rm U(1)_{PQ}$  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|                    | $\ell_L$                  | (1, 2, -1/2)  | 1/6  |
| Leptons            | $e_R$                     | $({f 1},{f 1},-1)$  | 1/6  |
|                    | $ u_R$                    | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | 4/6  |
| Vector-like quarks | $\Psi_{1,2L};\Psi_{1,2R}$ | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$                                     | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}; \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}} - 1/2$ |
| C 1                | Φ                         | (1, 2, 1/2)   | 0  |
| Scalars            | $\sigma$                  | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | 1/2  |
| Seeler Lentequerka | η                         | $(3,\mathbf{n}_\eta\equiv\mathbf{n}_\Psi\pm1,y_\Psi+1/2)$           | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}-4/6$                              |
| Scalar Leptoquarks | $\chi$                    | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$                                     | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}-4/6$                              |

|                    | Fields                    | $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \otimes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \otimes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$ | $\rm U(1)_{PQ}$  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
|                    | $\ell_L$                  | (1, 2, -1/2)  | 1/6  |
| Leptons            | $e_R$                     | (1, 1, -1)  | 1/6  |
|                    | $ u_R$                    | $({f 1},{f 1},0)$   | 4/6  |
| Vector-like quarks | $\Psi_{1,2L};\Psi_{1,2R}$ | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$                                     | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}; \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}} - 1/2$ |
| Coolem             | Φ                         | (1, 2, 1/2)   | 0  |
| Scalars            | $\sigma$                  | (1, 1, 0)   | 1/2  |
| Saslar Loptoquarka | η                         | $(3,\mathbf{n}_\eta\equiv\mathbf{n}_\Psi\pm1,y_\Psi+1/2)$           | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}-4/6$                              |
| Scalar Leptoquarks | $\chi$                    | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$                                     | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}-4/6$                              |

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk.}}^{\nu} = \mathbf{Y}_{\eta} \ell_L \; \tilde{\eta} \Psi_R + \mathbf{Y}_{\chi} \Psi_L \chi \nu_R + \mathbf{Y}_{\Psi} \Psi_L \Psi_R \sigma + \text{H.c.}$$
$$V \supset \kappa \; (\eta^{\dagger} \Phi) \chi + \text{H.c.}$$

|   | Fielde                    | $\operatorname{SU}(2) \oplus \operatorname{SU}(2) \oplus \operatorname{U}(1)$ | IT(1)  | $\mathbf{n}_{\Psi}$ | $y_{\Psi}$ | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}$ | $\mathbf{n}_{\eta}$          | Heavy-light quark mixing terms  | Other decay terms   |
|---|---------------------------|---|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
|   | Fleids                    | $50(3)_c \otimes 50(2)_L \otimes 0(1)_Y$                                      | U(1)PQ   |                     |            | 1 10                        |                              | I   |   |
|   | $\ell_L$                  | $({f 1},{f 2},-1/2)$  | 1/6  |                     | -1/3       | 1/2                         |                              | $\overline{q_L} \Phi \Psi_R, \Psi_L \sigma d_R$   | $\ell_L\eta d_R$  |
| Leptons   | $e_R$                     | (1, 1, -1)  | 1/6  | 1                   |            | 0                           | 2                            | $\overline{\Psi_L} d_R$   | $\overline{q_L}\eta  u_R$                                     |
|   | $ u_R$                    | (1, 1, 0)   | 4/6  |                     | 2/3        | 1/2                         |                              | $\overline{q_L}	ilde{\Phi}\Psi_R, \overline{\Psi_L}\sigma u_R$                            | $\overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\eta} u_R, \overline{q_L} \eta e_R$ |
| Vector-like quarks  | $\Psi_{1,2L};\Psi_{1,2R}$ | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$   | $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}}; \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{PQ}} - 1/2$ |                     |            |                             | $\overline{\Psi_L} u_R$      | -   |   |
|   | Φ                         | (1 9 1/9)   | 0  |                     |            | 1/2                         |                              | $\overline{q_L}\Psi_R$  | $\overline{\ell_L}\chi^*d_R$                                  |
| Scalars   | Ψ                         | (1, 2, 1/2)   | 1/2  |                     | 1/6        | 0                           |                              | $\overline{q_L}\sigma\Psi_R, \overline{\Psi_L}\Phi d_R, \overline{\Psi_L}\tilde{\Phi}u_R$ | -   |
|   | 0                         | (1,1,0)   | 1/2  | 2                   | F /G       |                             | 1,3                          | $\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{d}$  |   |
| Seeler Loptoquerka  | $\eta$                    | $(3,\mathbf{n}_{\eta}\equiv\mathbf{n}_{\Psi}\pm1,y_{\Psi}+1/2)$               | $Q_{\rm PQ} - 4/6$   |                     | -5/0       | 0                           |                              | $\Psi_L \Psi a_R$   | -   |
| Scalar Leptoquarks  | χ                         | $({f 3},{f n}_{\Psi},y_{\Psi})$   | $Q_{\mathrm{PQ}} - 4/6$                                      |                     | 7/6        |                             |                              | $\overline{\Psi_L} \Phi u_R$  | -   |
|   |                           |   |  |                     |            |                             | 2                            |   | $\overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\eta} d_R$                          |
| $-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk.}}^{\nu} = \mathbf{Y}_{\eta} \ell_L \; \tilde{\eta} \Psi_R + \mathbf{Y}_{\chi} \Psi_L \chi \nu_R + \mathbf{Y}_{\Psi} \Psi_L \Psi_R \sigma + \text{H.c.}$ |                           |   |  |                     | -1/3       |                             |                              | $\overline{q_L} \Phi \Psi_R$  |   |
|   |                           |   |  | 3                   |            | 0                           | 4                            |   | -   |
|   |                           |   |  |                     |            |                             | <b>2</b>                     | ~ _   | $\overline{\ell_L} \tilde{\eta} u_R, \overline{q_L} \eta e_R$ |
| $V \supset \kappa \; (\eta^{\dagger} \Phi) \chi + \text{H.c.}$  |                           |   |  | 2/3                 |            |                             | $\overline{q_L} \Phi \Psi_R$ |   |   |

4

There is a **residual**  $\mathcal{Z}_3$  symmetry under which,

$$(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R) \to \omega(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R)$$

$$(\eta,\chi) 
ightarrow \omega^2(\eta,\chi)$$

There is a **residual**  $\mathcal{Z}_3$  **symmetry** under which,

This forbids all Majorana type operators,

$$(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R) \to \omega(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R)$$

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj.}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\text{Maj.}}}{\Lambda^{n+n'+1}} (\bar{L^c} \tilde{\Phi}^*) (\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger} \ell_L) \sigma^n \sigma^{*n'} + \text{H.c.}$$

 $(\eta, \chi) \rightarrow \omega^2(\eta, \chi)$ 

There is a **residual**  $Z_3$  **symmetry** under which,

 $(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R) \to \omega(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R)$ 

 $(\eta, \chi) \to \omega^2(\eta, \chi)$ 

This forbids all Majorana type operators,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj.}} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Maj.}}}{\Lambda^{n+n'+1}} (\bar{L^c}\tilde{\Phi}^*)(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \ell_L) \sigma^n \sigma^{*n'} + \text{H.c.}$$

But allows Dirac type operators,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Dirac}}}{\Lambda^{n+n'}} \left( \bar{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R \right) \sigma^n \sigma^{*n'} + \text{H.c.}$$

There is a **residual**  $Z_3$  **symmetry** under which,

 $(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R) \to \omega(\ell_L, e_R, \nu_R)$ 

 $(\eta, \chi) \to \omega^2(\eta, \chi)$ 

This forbids all Majorana type operators,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maj.}} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Maj.}}}{\Lambda^{n+n'+1}} (\bar{L^c}\tilde{\Phi}^*)(\tilde{\Phi}^\dagger \ell_L) \sigma^n \sigma^{*n'} + \text{H.c.}$$

But allows Dirac type operators,

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \frac{\kappa_{\text{Dirac}}}{\Lambda^{n+n'}} \left( \bar{\ell_L} \tilde{\Phi} \nu_R \right) \sigma^n \sigma^{*n'} + \text{H.c}$$

The scalar leptoquarks  $\eta$  and  $\chi$  lead to lepton-quark interaction terms.

However, there is an accidental baryon number symmetry under which the SM quark fields and the new colored ones are equally charged forbids dangerous proton decay operators such as the dimension 6,

$$d^{c}u^{c}u^{c}e^{c}/\Lambda^{2}, \, \bar{e^{c}}\bar{u^{c}}qq/\Lambda^{2}, \, \bar{d^{c}}\bar{u^{c}}q\ell/\Lambda^{2}, \, qqql/\Lambda^{2}$$

 $\sigma$ 




#### Axion paradigm with colour-mediated Dirac neutrino masses



#### Axion dark matter

The initial misalignment angle is no longer a free variable in the post-inflationary scenario and by performing a statistical average one obtains,  $\langle \theta_0^2 \rangle \simeq 2.15^2 \implies f_a \lesssim 2 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}$ 

#### Probing the axion-to-photon coupling



### Flavour-violating axion couplings

Mixing between the heavy VLQs and the ordinary SM quarks induces flavor-violating axion-quark couplings:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FV}^a = \frac{\partial_\mu a}{v_\sigma} \overline{q_{\alpha X}} \, \gamma^\mu \, \mathcal{Q}_X(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_X^q)_{\alpha \beta} \, q_{\beta X}$$

### Flavour-violating axion couplings

Mixing between the heavy VLQs and the ordinary SM quarks induces flavor-violating axion-quark couplings:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FV}^a = \frac{\partial_\mu a}{v_\sigma} \overline{q_{\alpha X}} \, \gamma^\mu \, \mathcal{Q}_X(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}_X^q)_{\alpha\beta} \, q_{\beta X}$$

| $\mathbf{n}_{\Psi}$ | $y_{\Psi}$ | $\mathcal{Q}_{	ext{PQ}}$ | Heavy-light quark mixing terms                       | $\mathbf{\Theta}_X^q$ mixing parameter   |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 1                   | -1/3       | 0                        | $\mathbf{M}_{\Psi d}\overline{\Psi_L}d_R$            | $\Theta_R^d \sim M_{\Psi d} / M_{\psi} ,  \Theta_L^d \sim (v / M_{\Psi}) Y_d \Theta_R^d$             |
|                     | 2/3        |                          | $\mathbf{M}_{\Psi u}\overline{\Psi_L}u_R$            | $\Theta_R^u \sim M_{\Psi u}/M_{\psi} ,  \Theta_L^u \sim (v/M_{\Psi}) Y_u \Theta_R^u$                 |
| 2                   | 1/6        | 1/2                      | $\mathbf{M}_{q\Psi}\overline{q_L}\Psi_R$             | $\Theta_L^{d,u} \sim M_{q\Psi}/M_{\psi} \ , \ \Theta_R^{d,u} \sim (v/M_{\Psi})Y_{d,u}\Theta_L^{d,u}$ |
|                     | -5/6       | 0                        | $\mathbf{Y}_{\Psi d}\overline{\Psi_L}	ilde{\Phi}d_R$ | $\Theta_R^d \sim (v/M_\psi) Y_{\Psi d} , \ \Theta_L^d \sim (v/M_\Psi) Y_d \Theta_R^d$                |
|                     | 7/6        |                          | $\mathbf{Y}_{\Psi u} \overline{\Psi_L} \Phi u_R$     | $\Theta_R^u \sim (v/M_\psi) Y_{\Psi u} , \ \Theta_L^u \sim (v/M_\Psi) Y_u \Theta_R^u$                |
| 3                   | -1/3       | 0                        | $\mathbf{Y}_{q\Psi}\overline{q_L}\Phi\Psi_R$         | $\Theta_R^d \sim (v/M_\psi) Y_{q\Psi} , \ \Theta_L^d \sim (v/M_\Psi) Y_d \Theta_R^d$                 |
|                     | 2/3        |                          | $\mathbf{Y}_{q\Psi}\overline{q_L}	ilde{\Phi}\Psi_R$  | $\Theta_R^u \sim (v/M_\psi) Y_{q\Psi} , \ \Theta_L^u \sim (v/M_\Psi) Y_u \Theta_R^u$                 |

## Flavour-violating axion couplings



• We introduced a series of theoretical frameworks for **neutrino mass generation** that not only address the lightness of neutrinos but also offer rich phenomenology as well as a connection to **dark matter**.

- We introduced a series of theoretical frameworks for **neutrino mass generation** that not only address the lightness of neutrinos but also offer rich phenomenology as well as a connection to **dark matter**.
- We first looked at the Type-I Seesaw which is by far the simplest solution to the neutrino mass problem. However, the large
  mass scale of the right-handed neutrinos makes it far away from the reach of current experiments. Low-scale solutions, such
  as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.

- We introduced a series of theoretical frameworks for **neutrino mass generation** that not only address the lightness of neutrinos but also offer rich phenomenology as well as a connection to **dark matter**.
- We first looked at the Type-I Seesaw which is by far the simplest solution to the neutrino mass problem. However, the large
  mass scale of the right-handed neutrinos makes it far away from the reach of current experiments. Low-scale solutions, such
  as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.
- We then proposed the dark linear seesaw in which a dark-sector induces the small lepton number violating parameter that generates neutrino masses via the linear seesaw mechanism, without contributing to any other mass term which could generate light neutrino masses through another mechanism.

- We introduced a series of theoretical frameworks for **neutrino mass generation** that not only address the lightness of neutrinos but also offer rich phenomenology as well as a connection to **dark matter**.
- We first looked at the Type-I Seesaw which is by far the simplest solution to the neutrino mass problem. However, the large
  mass scale of the right-handed neutrinos makes it far away from the reach of current experiments. Low-scale solutions, such
  as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.
- We then proposed the dark linear seesaw in which a dark-sector induces the small lepton number violating parameter that generates neutrino masses via the linear seesaw mechanism, without contributing to any other mass term which could generate light neutrino masses through another mechanism.
- Finally, we explored axion dark matter frameworks in which colored mediators act as neutrino-mass mediators. In one realization, colored fermions and scalars act as two-loop Majorana neutrino-mass mediators. Alternatively, these exotic states generate Dirac neutrino masses where the global Peccei-Quinn symmetry that addresses the strong CP problem also ensures the Dirac nature of neutrinos.

- We introduced a series of theoretical frameworks for **neutrino mass generation** that not only address the lightness of neutrinos but also offer rich phenomenology as well as a connection to **dark matter**.
- We first looked at the Type-I Seesaw which is by far the simplest solution to the neutrino mass problem. However, the large
  mass scale of the right-handed neutrinos makes it far away from the reach of current experiments. Low-scale solutions, such
  as the inverse and linear seesaws, despite being more complicated offer more testability prospects at ongoing experiments.
- We then proposed the dark linear seesaw in which a dark-sector induces the small lepton number violating parameter that generates neutrino masses via the linear seesaw mechanism, without contributing to any other mass term which could generate light neutrino masses through another mechanism.
- Finally, we explored axion dark matter frameworks in which colored mediators act as neutrino-mass mediators. In one realization, colored fermions and scalars act as two-loop Majorana neutrino-mass mediators. Alternatively, these exotic states generate Dirac neutrino masses where the global Peccei-Quinn symmetry that addresses the strong CP problem also ensures the Dirac nature of neutrinos.

#### Thank you!