

Electroweak phase transition in maximally symmetric composite Higgs model



Marco Merchand
KTH Stockholm

2406.14633: with A. Banerjee and I. Nałęcz



Motivation

- **Hierarchy problem** of the Higgs mass \rightarrow SM as EFT
- **Baryon asymmetry**
 - Sakharov conditions \rightarrow B, **CP violation** and out-of-equilibrium
 - **Phase transitions** during the early universe
 - **Gravitational waves** from plasma sound waves can be detectable




Is the Higgs boson a truly fundamental particle?

Answer: *We don't know!*

It might as well be a composite state, just like a pion!


Dimensional transmutation, large hierarchy of scales!

$$\log \left(\frac{M_p}{f} \right) \sim \frac{16\pi^2}{g_s^2}$$



Composite Higgs (CHM)

1506.01961



Global Symmetry G

(explicitly broken by mass terms and partial gauging)



Confinement of Strong Group HC at scale $\Lambda = 4\pi f$



Unbroken subgroup

$$H \supseteq SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \longrightarrow \Sigma \equiv \exp(i\sqrt{2}\pi^a \hat{T}^a / f)$$

Low energy theory of a scalar manifold $M = \frac{G}{H}$ with positive curvature

Phase Transitions in CHM

Rich possibilities!

Confinement
 $\langle \psi\psi \rangle = \Lambda^3$

$G \rightarrow H$

Electroweak
 $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$

Phase Transitions in CHM

Rich possibilities!

Confinement

- Lattice
- Polyakov

pNGB +dilaton

Electroweak


- Standard perturbative

$G \rightarrow H$

- Polyakov loop, NJL
- Beta function analysis

Electroweak phase transition in CHM

$$SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{em}$$



(next-to-minimal) composite Higgs landscape

Top partial compositeness

1902.06890


- Asymptotic freedom \rightarrow Number of fermions
- Outside conformal IR window
- Minimal coset
- Custodial symmetry

Coset	HC	ψ	χ	$-q_\chi/q_\psi$	Baryon	Name	Lattice
$\frac{SU(5)}{SO(5)} \times \frac{SU(6)}{SO(6)}$	SO(7)	$5 \times \mathbf{F}$	$6 \times \mathbf{Sp}$	5/6	$\psi\chi\chi$	M1	
	SO(9)			5/12		M2	
	SO(7)	$5 \times \mathbf{Sp}$	$6 \times \mathbf{F}$	5/6	$\psi\psi\chi$	M3	
	SO(9)			5/3		M4	
$\frac{SU(5)}{SO(5)} \times \frac{SU(6)}{Sp(6)}$	Sp(4)	$5 \times \mathbf{A}_2$	$6 \times \mathbf{F}$	5/3	$\psi\chi\chi$	M5	✓
$\frac{SU(5)}{SO(5)} \times \frac{SU(3)^2}{SU(3)}$	SU(4)	$5 \times \mathbf{A}_2$	$3 \times (\mathbf{F}, \bar{\mathbf{F}})$	5/3	$\psi\chi\chi$	M6	✓
	SO(10)	$5 \times \mathbf{F}$	$3 \times (\mathbf{Sp}, \bar{\mathbf{Sp}})$	5/12		M7	
$\frac{SU(4)}{Sp(4)} \times \frac{SU(6)}{SO(6)}$	Sp(4)	$4 \times \mathbf{F}$	$6 \times \mathbf{A}_2$	1/3	$\psi\psi\chi$	M8	✓
	SO(11)	$4 \times \mathbf{Sp}$	$6 \times \mathbf{F}$	8/3		M9	
$\frac{SU(4)^2}{SU(4)} \times \frac{SU(6)}{SO(6)}$	SO(10)	$4 \times (\mathbf{Sp}, \bar{\mathbf{Sp}})$	$6 \times \mathbf{F}$	8/3	$\psi\psi\chi$	M10	✓
	SU(4)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \bar{\mathbf{F}})$	$6 \times \mathbf{A}_2$	2/3		M11	
$\frac{SU(4)^2}{SU(4)} \times \frac{SU(3)^2}{SU(3)}$	SU(5)	$4 \times (\mathbf{F}, \bar{\mathbf{F}})$	$3 \times (\mathbf{A}_2, \bar{\mathbf{A}}_2)$	4/9	$\psi\psi\chi$	M12	

The Model

- Next-to-minimal coset: $\frac{G}{H} = \frac{SU(4)}{Sp(4)} \cong \frac{SO(6)}{SO(5)} \cong S^5 \rightarrow$ **SM+singlet**

- Minimal Higgs hypothesis:



- Maximal Symmetry: potential is finite and fully calculable **Next!**

What is maximal symmetry?

Csaki, Ma, Shu: 1702.00405

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t = & \bar{q}_L^i \gamma^\mu p_\mu \left[\Pi_0^L(p^2) + \Pi_1^L(p^2) \text{Tr}(A_L^i \Sigma^\dagger) \text{Tr}(\Sigma A_L^{i\dagger}) \right] q_L^i \\ & + \bar{t}_R \gamma^\mu p_\mu \left[\Pi_0^R(p^2) + \Pi_1^R(p^2) \text{Tr}(A_R^\dagger \Sigma) \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger A_R) \right] t_R \\ & + \bar{q}_L^i \left[\Pi_2^{LR}(p^2) \text{tr}(A_L^i \Sigma^* A_R \Sigma^\dagger) + \Pi_1^{LR}(p^2) \text{tr}(A_L^i \Sigma^\dagger) \text{tr}(\Sigma^\dagger A_R) \right] t_R + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad \Sigma \equiv \exp(i\sqrt{2}\pi^a \hat{T}^a / f)$$

$$\Pi_1^{L,R} = \Pi_1^{LR} = 0$$


$$\Pi_0^{L,R} = \frac{|\lambda_5^{L,R}|^2 f^2}{p^2 + M_5^2},$$

$$\Pi_2^{LR} = \frac{\lambda_5^L \lambda_5^{R*} f^2 M_5}{p^2 + M_5^2},$$

$$m_t = \left| \frac{\Pi_2^{LR}(0) \mathcal{F}_t(v, v_\eta)}{\sqrt{(1 + \Pi_0^L(0))(1 + \Pi_0^R(0))}} \right| = F_t |\mathcal{F}_t(v, v_\eta)|$$

The scalar potential

$$V_{1\text{-loop}}(h, \eta) = V_t + V_g + V_H$$



Hyperquark mass term

$$V_H = Bf^3 \text{tr} \left[\mu_H \Sigma + \Sigma^\dagger \mu_H^\dagger \right]$$



$$V_{CW} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \log [p^2 + M^2(p^2)]$$

- Singlet η gets a vev v_η
- CP violation
- Contribution numerically small but relevant!

Non-trivial momentum dependence very different from elementary scalar case

$$V_{CW} = \frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \log \left[1 + \frac{m_{\text{SM}}^2(\phi) m_1^2 m_2^2}{p^2 (p^2 + m_1^2) (p^2 + m_2^2)} \right]$$

Finite temperature potential

- Imaginary time formalism
- Effect of heavy resonances is Boltzmann suppressed
- Effective potential is fully calculable

$$V_{1\text{-loop}} = \frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{2} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \log \left[1 + \frac{m_{\text{SM}}^2(\phi) m_1^2 m_2^2}{p^2 (p^2 + m_1^2) (p^2 + m_2^2)} \right]$$

$$\int dp^0 d^3 p f(p^2) \rightarrow 2\pi T \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int d^3 p f(\omega_n^2 + |\vec{p}|^2)$$

$$V_{1\text{-loop}} = V_{\text{CW}}^{(T=0)}(\tilde{m}_i) + N_{\text{eff}} \frac{T^4}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 J_B \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_i}{T} \right)$$

$$V_{\text{CW}}^{(T=0)}(\tilde{m}_i) \equiv \frac{N_{\text{eff}}}{32\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \tilde{m}_i^4 \log \left(\frac{\tilde{m}_i}{\mu} \right)$$

$$J_B(x) \equiv \int_0^{\infty} dy y^2 \log \left[1 - e^{-\sqrt{y^2 + x^2}} \right]$$

Dolan & Jackiw: [10.1103/PhysRevD.9.3320](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.1103/PhysRevD.9.3320)

Leading-log approximation

$$V_{1\text{-loop}}^{LL} = -F_T |\mathcal{F}_t(h, \eta)|^2 + F_G \mathcal{F}_g(h, \eta) + F_H \mathcal{F}_H(h, \eta) \quad \pi_0 \equiv \sqrt{h^2 + \eta^2}$$

Top quarks \longrightarrow $\mathcal{F}_t(h, \eta) \equiv \frac{hs_{\pi_0}}{\pi_0^2} \left[c_\beta \left(\pi_0 c_{\pi_0} - i\eta s_{\pi_0} \right) - e^{i\gamma} s_\beta \left(\pi_0 c_{\pi_0} + i\eta s_{\pi_0} \right) \right]$


Gauge bosons \longrightarrow $\mathcal{F}_g(h, \eta) \equiv \frac{h^2}{4\pi_0^2} s_{\pi_0}^2$ “universal” contribution

Hyperquarks \longrightarrow $\mathcal{F}_H(h, \eta) \equiv \left[(1 + c_\delta) c_{\pi_0} + s_\delta \frac{\eta}{\pi_0} s_{\pi_0} \right]$

Pheno constraints



- Higgs coupling deviations → $f = 1 \text{ TeV}, |\sin \theta| \leq 0.2, m_\eta \geq 2m_h$
- EW precision measurements
- LHC bounds → $M_5 > 1 \text{ TeV}, m_{\rho,a} \geq 1.5 \text{ TeV}$
- EWSB & top quark mass
- electron edm → $\gamma \approx 0 \rightarrow \beta \approx 3\pi/4$
- 1st-order phase transition → $F_G \gg F_T \gg |F_H|$

Benchmarking Scheme





CP-violation & EDM

- Non-zero vev induces CP-violation
- Stringent constraint from electron edm
 $|d_e| < 4.1 \times 10^{-30} e \text{ cm}$
- δ is not directly constrained. Hyperquark term does not contribute to the tth coupling




Electroweak phase transition: $T < f$



Requirement of a strong PT


1. The upper bound on the mixing angle fixes the singlet vev
2. Region above is ruled out by perturbativity requirement. Not useful for electroweak baryogenesis
3. region below does not satisfy the nucleation condition
4. Strongest PT delineate a one-to-one correspondence between singlet vev and mass

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_r} \left(\Delta V(h, \eta, T) - \frac{T}{4} \Delta \frac{\partial V(h, \eta, T)}{\partial T} \right) \Big|_{T=T_n}$$



Gravitational waves vs CP violation

1. Clearly, larger values of $\tan(\delta)$ lead to stronger FOPTs, implying that the amount of CP violation is the main predicting factor for the strength of the PT
2. Observation of GW signal would inform us about the amount of CP violation, through the angle δ



Conclusions



- CHM remains as an enticing extension, alleviating the hierarchy problem
- The coset $SU(4)/Sp(4)$ leads to a pseudoscalar singlet pNGB in addition to the Higgs doublet whose contribution to the potential allows the electroweak phase transition to be first-order
- Due to explicit CP breaking, the singlet gets a vev, crucial for obtaining FOPTs
- We can learn a lot about the scalar potential from current experimental constraints
- If a stochastic GW background is discovered it will provide us with even more constraints



Thermodynamic parameters

• Bubble nucleation: $\Gamma(T) = \left(\frac{S_3}{2\pi T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} T^4 e^{-S_3/T} \quad \left.\frac{S_3}{T}\right|_{T=T_n} \approx 140$

• Latent heat: $\alpha \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_r} \left(\Delta V(h, \eta, T) - \frac{T}{4} \Delta \frac{\partial V(h, \eta, T)}{\partial T} \right) \Big|_{T=T_n}$


• Duration: $\beta = HT \frac{d}{dT} S_3/T$





Minimal Higgs Hypothesis

Effective potential arises from IR-effects by the Coleman-Weinberg mechanism



$$V^{CW} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \log [p^2 + M^2] \propto M^4 \log \left(\frac{M^2}{\Lambda^2} \right)$$

Divergent contribution which requires renormalization in an elementary scalar theory!

2306.01305

$\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$	PT dynamics	Model	Order
$SO(N) \rightarrow SO(N-1)$	[70, 71]	$N = 5$ [72]	2'nd
		$N = 9$ [73]	2'nd
$SO(9) \rightarrow SO(5) \times SO(4)$	This work	[69]	1'st
$SU(2N) (U(2N)) \rightarrow Sp(2N)$	[63]	$N = 2$ [7, 74–76]	anomaly
		$N = 3$ [74, 77]	1'st
$SU(N) (U(N)) \rightarrow SO(N)$	[64]	$N = 5$ [74, 78, 79]	1'st

1902.06890

Model	EW coset					QCD coset					a	η'
	$\mathbf{2}_{\pm 1/2}$	$\mathbf{3}_0$	$\mathbf{3}_{\pm 1}$	$\mathbf{1}_0$	$\mathbf{1}_{\pm 1}$	$\mathbf{8}_0$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_{2/3}$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_{4/3}$	$\mathbf{6}_{2/3}$	$\mathbf{6}_{4/3}$		
M1	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1
M2	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	1
M3	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M4	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M5	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1
M6	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1
M7	1	1	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	1
M8	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M9	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M10	2	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M11	2	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1
M12	2	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	1